A NOTE ON THE AUTOGRAPH OF SA'ID BEN FARJOI

EZRA FLEISCHER

In his interesting article "An Autograph of Sa'id ben Farjoi, etc.", which appeared in Textus, VI, 106 ff., N. Allony published a fragment of a colophon, written by ben Farjoi, apparently at the end of a copy of one of the books of the Bible. In page 2 of the folio containing the colophon are some scribblings and experimental strokes of the pen, one of which appears to be in the hand of the writer of the colophon himself. This text runs as follows, and the hand of the writer of the colophon himself. This text runs as follows, a "לו') אמרחי בסיני אנכי הוא" a Massoretic note concerning the vocalisation of the word "אמרחי" in the Bible, he finds it difficult to interpret the word "אנכי הוא" as a Biblical quotation. From this, and the other scribblings on this page, most of which are of Biblical verses, N. Allony deduces that Sa'id ben Farjoi copied not only books of the Bible, but also certain notes

- 1 There is no proof whatsoever to support Allony's suggestion that all of the scribblings on p. 2, including those in Arabic, are the work of Sa'id ben Farjoi. The Hebrew scribblings, apart from the one mentioned below, are clearly written in another hand, and with another pen. It is logical to maintain that the first copyist of the MS would never have used an empty page of his monumental (and complete!) MS for practise strokes of the pen. Such an use of the page must have come about a long time after the MS itself had been written, possibly even after the page became detached from the main body of the MS, as a result of its protracted use.
- 2 This letter ">", which Allony includes in his analysis, is clearly written with a different pen and in a different hand. It is apparently completely unconnected with the sentence under discussion.
- 3 Even discounting what will be said below, this proposition seems most unlikely: "אמרתי" (= לי אמרתי") (בית = י"לי (לית = י"לי אמרתי") (בית = י"לי אמרתי") (בית = י"לי אמרתי") (בית = י"לי אמרתי") (בית = in the Bible, but in fact this word occurs 75 times. If the copyist had wished here to indicate, as Allony opines, that this word always appears with one particular vocalisation only, with one exception, under no circumstances would he have used this particular language.
- 4 Cp. Allony, "An Autograph of Sa'id ben Farjoi, etc.", Textus, VI, 111, note 34.
- 5 Allony, ib., note 36.
- 6 Incidentally, most of the practise pen strokes in the Geniza MSS use Biblical passages, and it is doubtful whether we should attach to this any particular significance.

concerning the Massorah, which in his opinion strengthens the hypothesis that ben Farjoi was a Karaite.

In truth, the words "אמרתי בסיני אנכי הוא" constitute the initial line of a Rabbinical lamentation for the Ninth of Ab, found in several MSS from the Geniza,7 which runs as follows:

אָמֶרְתִּי בְּסִינֵי אָנֹכִי הוּא וְהֵפֶּה בְּצִיּוֹן כִּיחֲשׁוּ וַיֹּאמְרוּ לֹא הוּא

פזמון: תְּנוּ לִי דְּבָרֵי / עֲשֶׂרָת מַאֲמָרַי בָּנִי, זֵרַע בָּחִירֵי / עֲמוּסִי, נְצוּרֵי

אָמַרְתִּי בְּסִינֵי לֹא יִהְיֶה לְךְּ אֱלֹהִים אֲחַרִים עַל פְּנָי וָהָמָּה בִּצִּיּוֹן עֵץ וָאֶבֶן הִמְלִיכוּ לְפָנֵי

> אָמֵרְתִּי בְּסִינֵי לֹא תִשָּׂא לַשְּׁוָא אֶת שֵׁם והמה בִּצִּיוֹן נִיאֲצוּ וָחָלְלוּ אֵת הַשֵּׁם

אָמַרְתִּי בְּסִינֵי זָכוֹר אֶת יוֹם הַשַּׁבְּת 10 וָהָמֵה בִּצִיוֹן דּוֹרְכִים גָּתוֹת בְּשַׁבָּת

> אָמַרְתּי בְּסִינֵי כַּבֵּד אָב וְאֵם והמה בִּצִיוֹן הַקַלוּ אַב נָאָם

אָמֵרְתִּי בְּסִינֵי לֹא תִרְצֵח וְתִשְׁפּוֹךְ דָּמִים וָהָמֵה בִּצִיוֹן יִדִיהִם מַלְאוּ דָּמִים

> וּ אָמַרְתִּי בְּסִינֵי לֹא תִנְאַף בְּנִיאוּפִים וָהָמָה בִּצִּיוֹן כִּי כִוּלָם מְנָאֲפִים

אָמַרְתִּי בְּסִינֵי לֹא תִּנְוֹב וְתַחְלוֹק עִם נַּנְבִים וְהַמָּה בְּצִיּוֹן חַבְרֵי נַּיָּבִים

> אָמַרְתִּי בְּסִינֵי לֹא תַצְנֶה עֵדוּת שֶׁקֶר 20 וְהַמָּה בְּצִיּוֹן קָמוּ בִי עֵדִי שֶׁקֶר אָמֵרְתִּי בְּסִינֵי לֹא תַחְמוֹד [גְּ]מוּל כֹּל וָהָמָה בִּצִיּוֹן חָמָדוּ שָׁדוֹת וָנֵוִלוּ כֹּל

We may conclude from this that the above passage provides no evidence for linking Sa'id ben Farjoi with any issues of the Massorah, and further constitutes a counter argument to his assumed affiliation with Karaism: the lamentation is clearly of Rabbanite origin, and it would be hard to imagine its ever being used by the Karaites.

The other notes, above and at the side of the note under discussion,

7 E.g., MS. T.-S.H 14/7 (printed above); and likewise MS. Adler 2159, p. 9; MS. T.-S. H 14/32 and 6H6/2. Another version of the Piyyut (and its beginning ״אמרתי) is found also in MSS. Adler 2425, p. 54 Oxford 2723 B and British museum 701.

"אבוחינו חטאו",8 also appear to be no more than openings of Rabbanite lamentations for the Ninth of Ab, from which we may deduce that the tome, whose colophon occupies the first page of the folio under discussion, contained a copy of the Book of Lamentations.

⁸ A Piyyut, with such an opening, is recorded in Israel Davidson's *Thesaurus*, vol. 1, letter "N", No. 152.